

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSE SIER SCENTERIES AND TRADEMARKS washington DCC 2021 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A L'TORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
10 050,689	01-16-2002	Wayne E. Pleasant	2695,2020-001	6595
21005 759	90 03 20 2003			
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133		FXAMINER		
		MIS, DAVID C		
CONCORD, M	A 01742-9133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	

DATE MAILED: 03:20:2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
•	10/050,689 PLEASANT ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Mis	2817	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resepty within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB.	reply be timely filed  r (30) days will be considered timely.  IHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	6 January 2002		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ters prosecution as to the merits is	:
closed in accordance with the practice und  Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)  Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 11-14 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	d/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10)⊡ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 2002</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) d	sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a). All b). Some * c). None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No	
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a I</li></ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s</li> </ol>	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		<del></del>	

Application/Control Number: 10/050,689

Art Unit: 2817

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPO 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-10 of U. S. Patent No. 6,496,079 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: That which was construed to cover the claims of the parent application and patent is construed to cover said present claims.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application

Application/Control Number: 10/050,689

Art Unit: 2817

Page 3

during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

3. Claims 11-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Mis whose telephone number is 7033084907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on 7033084909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 7038729318 for regular communications and 7038729319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 7033080956.

David Mis

Primary Examiner